



JUDGING CRITERIA <i>(Judging criteria are explained in the Rule Book)</i>	EVALUATION			
	SUPERIOR	EXCELLENT	GOOD	NEEDS IMPROVEMENT

## COMMENTS

- Strengths
- Areas for Improvement

Historical Quality (60%)	SUPERIOR	EXCELLENT	GOOD	NEEDS IMPROVEMENT
• Entry is historically accurate				
• Shows analysis and interpretation				
• Places topic in historical context				
• Shows wide research				
• Uses available primary sources				
• Research is balanced				

Relation to Theme (20%)	SUPERIOR	EXCELLENT	GOOD	NEEDS IMPROVEMENT
• Clearly relates topic to theme				
• Demonstrates significance of topic in history and draws conclusions				

Clarity of Presentation (20%)	SUPERIOR	EXCELLENT	GOOD	NEEDS IMPROVEMENT
• Paper is original, clear, appropriate, organized and well-presented				
• Text is clear, grammatical and spelling is correct; entry is neatly prepared				

Rules Compliance	Yes	No
• Maintains length requirement (1500-2500 words)		
• Includes annotated bibliography		
• Other		